



AF  
JFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Jaisimha Bannur et al.

Title: FLOW CONTROL HUB HAVING SCOREBOARD MEMORY

Docket No.: INT-035

Serial No.: 10/622,806

Filed: July 18, 2003

Due Date: N/A

Examiner: Angel Casiano

Group Art Unit: 2182

**MS Amendment**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

We are transmitting herewith the following attached items (as indicated with an "X"):

- ☒ A return postcard and this transmittal document.
- ☒ A Response Under 37 CFR § 1.116 (2 Pages).

Customer Number: 46147

By

Douglas J. Ryder  
Reg. No. 43,073

**CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:** The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 16 day of March, 2006.

Shellie Bailey

Signature

(GENERAL)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



S/N 10/622,806

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Jaisimha Bannur et al.

Examiner: Angel Casiano

Serial No.: 10/622,806

Group Art Unit: 2182

Filed: July 18, 2003

Docket No.: INT-035

Title: FLOW CONTROL HUB HAVING  
SCOREBOARD MEMORY

Assignee: Intel Corporation

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.116

MS Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

This responds to the Office Action mailed on November 1, 2005. At the time claims 1-36 were pending but only claims 1-34 were addressed in the Office Action. The undersigned discussed this matter on behalf of the Applicant with the Examiner on January 10, 2006. The Examiner issued a new non-final Office Action on February 16, 2006 that superseded the November 1, 2005 non-final office Action and reset the time for responding. The February 16, 2006 Office action also included an Interview Summary. The Applicant thanks Examiner Angel Casiano for the courtesy of the telephone interview on January 10, 2006 with Applicant's representative Douglas J. Ryder and the interview summary issued thereafter.

The Applicant is aware that a formal response to the November 1, 2006 Office Action must include the substance of the interview as required by MPEP section 713.04. As mentioned above, the Applicant previously responded to the November 1, 2006 non-final Office Action in a communication filed on February 1, 2006. The Applicant submits that the February 1, 2006 response included the substance of the interview. However, since the response to the November 1, 2005 Office was filed on February 1, 2006, prior to the mailing of the February 16, 2006 Office Action and Interview Summary, the Applicant takes this opportunity to file a statement of the substance of the interview within the one month the non-extendable time frame in light of the Examiners Interview Summary.